From Digital Divide to Educational Equity: A Comprehensive Analysis of AI Technologies Supporting Marginalized Students in K-12 and Higher Education

Author: Dr Craig Hansen, Summit Institute

How to cite: Hansen, C. (2025). From Digital Divide to Educational Equity: A Comprehensive Analysis of AI Technologies Supporting Marginalized Students in K-12 and Higher Education. Summit Institute, Auckland.

Keywords

artificial intelligence, educational equity, marginalized students, adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring systems, digital divide, inclusive education, personalised learning

Table of Tables

Abstract

This systematic review examines the effectiveness of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies and strategies in reducing educational inequities for marginalized students across different educational stages. Through analysis of 24 peer-reviewed studies spanning early childhood through higher education, this research identifies four primary categories of effective AI interventions: infrastructure-adaptive solutions, personalised learning systems, culturally responsive technologies, and accessibility-focused approaches. The evidence demonstrates that adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems yield measurable improvements, with effect sizes ranging from $d = 0.40$ to $d = 0.85$ across different populations and educational contexts. Offline, low-cost AI solutions employing computer vision and natural language processing show particular promise in bridging rural-urban digital divides. Culturally and linguistically responsive approaches, including dialect-aware chatbots and machine translation tools, demonstrate enhanced efficacy compared to generic systems. Key success factors include personalisation capabilities, cultural responsiveness, accessibility features, and comprehensive teacher training programmes. The findings indicate that whilst AI technologies offer significant potential for reducing educational inequities, their effectiveness depends critically on thoughtful implementation, stakeholder involvement, and attention to bias mitigation.

Introduction

Educational equity remains one of the most persistent challenges facing educational systems globally, with marginalized students continuing to experience significant achievement gaps across all educational stages (Supramaniam et al., 2024). The intersection of artificial intelligence and education presents both unprecedented opportunities and complex challenges for addressing these inequities. As AI technologies become increasingly prevalent in educational settings, understanding their specific impacts on marginalized populations becomes crucial for ensuring that technological advancement contributes to rather than exacerbates existing disparities (Zhang & Yie, 2024).

This systematic review addresses the critical question of which specific AI technologies and strategies have demonstrated effectiveness in reducing educational inequities for marginalized students across different educational stages. The research synthesises evidence from 24 peer-reviewed studies conducted between 2021 and 2025, examining interventions targeting diverse marginalized populations including students with disabilities, racial and ethnic minorities, socioeconomically disadvantaged learners, and English language learners.

The significance of this inquiry extends beyond academic interest, as educational institutions worldwide grapple with decisions about AI implementation whilst simultaneously addressing persistent equity concerns. The evidence suggests that certain AI technologies, when thoughtfully designed and implemented, can serve as powerful tools for educational equity. However, the same technologies, when poorly implemented or designed without equity considerations, may perpetuate or amplify existing disparities (Mangal & Pardos, 2024).

The review employs a framework that categorises effective AI interventions into four primary domains: infrastructure-adaptive solutions that address geographic and digital divides, personalised learning systems that accommodate diverse learning needs, culturally responsive technologies that honour linguistic and cultural diversity, and accessibility-focused approaches that support students with special educational needs. This framework enables systematic examination of how different AI approaches address specific barriers faced by marginalized student populations.

Infrastructure-Adaptive AI Solutions for Geographic and Digital Equity

Offline and Low-Cost AI Technologies

The digital divide remains a fundamental barrier to educational equity, particularly affecting students in rural areas and low-resource settings. Research demonstrates that infrastructure-adaptive AI solutions can effectively bridge this gap without requiring extensive technological infrastructure investments. Portela et al. (2024) documented the implementation of offline AI systems in Brazil, utilising computer vision and natural language processing technologies designed for low-cost devices. Their study revealed no significant differences in learning outcomes between rural and urban students when using these systems, suggesting that thoughtfully designed offline AI can equalise access to quality educational technology.

The key innovation in these approaches lies in their ability to function independently of reliable internet connectivity whilst maintaining sophisticated AI capabilities. These systems employ local processing of computer vision for handwriting recognition and offline natural language processing for content analysis. Isotani et al. (2023) further demonstrated the scalability of such approaches, describing implementation across thousands of schools serving hundreds of thousands of students in underserved Brazilian communities.

Scalability in Low-Resource Settings

The evidence indicates that offline AI solutions can achieve substantial scale without proportional increases in infrastructure investment. The modular design of these systems allows for gradual deployment and expansion based on local resources and needs. This scalability addresses one of the primary concerns about AI implementation in educational equity initiatives: the sustainability and reach of interventions (Isotani et al., 2023).

The cost-effectiveness of these approaches emerges as a critical factor in their success. By utilising locally available hardware and minimising dependency on external connectivity, these systems reduce both initial implementation costs and ongoing operational expenses. This economic accessibility makes AI-powered educational tools available to institutions and communities that would otherwise be excluded from such technological advances.

Context-Aware Design Principles

Effective infrastructure-adaptive AI solutions demonstrate sophisticated understanding of local contexts and constraints. The research reveals that successful implementations incorporate awareness of local technological capabilities, cultural preferences, and educational priorities. This context-awareness extends beyond mere technical compatibility to encompass pedagogical alignment with local educational approaches and cultural sensitivity in content and interaction design (Portela et al., 2024).

The design principles underlying these systems emphasise robustness, simplicity, and adaptability. Systems must function reliably in challenging technical environments whilst remaining sufficiently user-friendly for educators with varying levels of technological expertise. The evidence suggests that this balance between sophistication and accessibility represents a crucial factor in the success of AI equity initiatives.

Table 1: Infrastructure-Adaptive AI Solutions and Their Educational Impact

Technology Type	Target Population	Educational Stage	Key Features	Reported Outcomes	Success Factors
Offline Computer Vision	Rural students (Brazil)	Primary	Handwriting recognition, low-cost devices	Equal writing gains, eliminated rural-urban gap	Offline capability, cost-effectiveness
Offline Natural Language Processing	Underserved communities	Primary/Secondary	Text analysis, local processing	Positive impact, reduced digital divide	Policy integration, modular design
Mobile Learning Platforms	Global underserved populations	Multiple stages	Adaptive content, mobile compatibility	Improved access and engagement	Cultural adaptation, infrastructure independence

Personalised Learning Systems for Diverse Learning Needs

Adaptive Learning Platforms and Intelligent Tutoring Systems

Personalised learning represents one of the most promising applications of AI for educational equity, with numerous studies documenting significant improvements in academic performance and engagement among marginalized students. The evidence demonstrates that adaptive learning systems and intelligent tutoring platforms can effectively address the diverse learning needs and varying preparation levels that characterise marginalized student populations (Chine et al., 2022).

The integration of AI-powered personalised learning systems aligns with established pedagogical frameworks such as explicit teaching and authentic assessment practices. Hansen (2024a) emphasises that rich tasks in mathematics education serve as multifaceted problems encouraging higher-order thinking and collaboration, which can be effectively supported by AI systems that adapt to individual learning needs whilst maintaining rigorous academic standards. The scaffolding provided by explicit teaching methodologies, involving clear explanations, modelling, and guided practice, creates an ideal foundation for AI personalisation technologies (Archer & Hughes, 2011).

Adaptive learning platforms utilise machine learning algorithms to continuously adjust content difficulty, pacing, and instructional approach based on individual student responses and progress patterns. These systems prove particularly effective for students who have experienced educational disruptions or who enter educational programmes with varying levels of preparation. The technology's ability to provide individualised support without requiring additional human resources addresses a critical scalability challenge in educational equity initiatives.

Intelligent tutoring systems extend personalisation by incorporating pedagogical expertise into AI-driven instruction. These systems provide targeted feedback, identify learning gaps, and offer remediation strategies tailored to individual student needs. Research by Naseer and Khawaja (2025) demonstrated that students using AI-driven adaptive feedback achieved 28% mastery gains compared to 14% in control groups, alongside 35% higher engagement levels.

Effect Sizes and Academic Performance Gains

The quantitative evidence for personalised AI learning systems reveals substantial and consistent effect sizes across diverse marginalized populations. Chine et al. (2022) reported effect sizes of $d = 0.40$ for mathematics gains among disadvantaged middle school students, representing double the improvement observed in control groups. Similarly, Elmahdi et al. (2025) documented retention gains with effect sizes ranging from d = 0.61 to d = 0.85 for English language learners using culturally adapted AI tools.

These effect sizes represent educationally significant improvements, particularly when considered in the context of persistent achievement gaps affecting marginalized students. The consistency of positive outcomes across different subject areas, educational stages, and marginalized populations suggests that personalised AI learning systems address fundamental barriers to educational success rather than merely providing marginal improvements.

The magnitude of these effects becomes particularly noteworthy when compared to typical educational intervention outcomes. Effect sizes exceeding d = 0.40 place these AI interventions among the most effective educational strategies documented in research literature, suggesting that personalised AI learning may represent a particularly powerful tool for educational equity.

Conditional Effectiveness Factors

Whilst the evidence for personalised AI learning systems is compelling, the research also reveals important conditions that influence effectiveness. The quality and comprehensiveness of data used to train AI systems emerge as critical factors, with systems trained on diverse datasets demonstrating superior performance across marginalized populations. Additionally, the degree of teacher training and stakeholder involvement significantly influences implementation success (Rasheed et al., 2025).

The adaptability of AI systems to local educational contexts and individual student needs represents another crucial effectiveness factor. Systems that can accommodate diverse learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and prior educational experiences demonstrate superior outcomes compared to more rigid implementations. This adaptability requirement highlights the importance of designing AI systems with flexibility and customisation capabilities rather than pursuing one-size-fits-all approaches.

The research also emphasises the importance of human-AI collaboration in achieving optimal outcomes. Studies documenting the most significant gains typically involve implementations where AI systems complement rather than replace human instruction, with teachers playing active roles in system configuration, student support, and outcome interpretation.

Table 2: Personalised Learning Technologies and Reported Effect Sizes

Technology	Target Population	Educational Stage	Effect Size	Academic Outcome	Key Features
MATHia/ALEKS/PL2	Disadvantaged middle school	Secondary	d = 0.40	Mathematics gains	Human-AI hybrid approach
Adaptive learning platforms	English language learners	Higher education	d = 0.61-0.85	Language retention	Cultural adaptation
AI-driven feedback systems	STEM struggling learners	Higher education	28% vs 14% mastery	Conceptual understanding	Analytics-based adaptation
Intelligent tutoring systems	Special needs students	Multiple stages	Significant gains	Academic performance	Personalisation and accessibility

Culturally Responsive AI and Language Accessibility

Dialect-Aware and Linguistically Responsive Technologies

Cultural and linguistic diversity among marginalized student populations requires AI systems that can recognise, respect, and leverage this diversity as an educational asset rather than treating it as a deficit to be overcome. The research demonstrates that culturally responsive AI technologies significantly outperform generic systems when serving marginalized students, with effect sizes consistently higher for culturally adapted implementations (Elmahdi et al., 2025).

Dialect-aware chatbots represent a particularly promising development in this area, capable of recognising and responding appropriately to various English dialects and language patterns used by marginalized communities. These systems validate students' home language practices whilst supporting academic language development, creating bridges rather than barriers between students' cultural identities and educational success.

The effectiveness of these approaches extends beyond mere recognition to active integration of cultural and linguistic diversity into learning experiences. Jiang et al. (2024) documented how AI systems incorporating sentiment analysis and culturally tuned pedagogy achieved significant performance gains ($p < 0.05$) among marginalized female students, demonstrating the power of culturally responsive design in engaging students who might otherwise be alienated by generic educational technology.

Cultural Integration in AI Curricula

The research reveals that effective culturally responsive AI goes beyond surface-level cultural references to incorporate deep understanding of cultural learning preferences, communication styles, and knowledge systems. This integration requires AI systems that can adapt not only content but also instructional approaches to align with diverse cultural educational traditions and preferences.

Salas-Pilco et al. (2022) demonstrated how culturally sensitive AI implementations improved performance, engagement, and STEM interest among minority students including African-American, Hispanic, and Indigenous populations. The success of these implementations stemmed from their ability to connect academic content with students' cultural experiences and community knowledge, making learning more relevant and meaningful.

The evidence suggests that cultural integration in AI curricula serves multiple functions: it validates students' cultural identities, enhances engagement through relevance, and leverages cultural knowledge as a foundation for academic learning. This approach transforms potential cultural barriers into educational assets, representing a fundamental shift in how AI systems approach diversity.

Machine Translation and Natural Language Processing

Advanced natural language processing capabilities enable AI systems to support students across linguistic barriers whilst preserving the richness of multilingual educational environments. Machine translation tools integrated into educational AI platforms provide real-time support for students learning in non-native languages whilst maintaining access to content in their home languages when appropriate.

Weng and Fu (2025) documented how generative AI technologies, including large language models like ChatGPT and GPT-4, enhanced motivation, confidence, and personalised learning among disadvantaged students, including English language learners. These systems provided scaffolded support that gradually developed academic language skills whilst maintaining comprehension and engagement.

The sophistication of modern natural language processing allows for nuanced understanding of language use patterns, enabling AI systems to provide appropriate support without stigmatising non-standard language use. This capability proves particularly important for marginalized students who may speak varieties of English that differ from academic standards, as it allows for supportive rather than corrective approaches to language development.

Table 3: Culturally Responsive AI Technologies and Language Accessibility Features

Technology Type	Cultural/Linguistic Features	Target Population	Educational Impact	Implementation Strategy
Dialect-aware chatbots	Multi-dialect recognition and response	English language learners	Enhanced language proficiency	ACL framework implementation
Sentiment analysis systems	Culturally tuned pedagogy	Marginalized female students	Significant performance gains ($p < 0.05$)	Strengths-based cultural approach
Machine translation platforms	Real-time multilingual support	Diverse linguistic backgrounds	Improved access and comprehension	Integration with adaptive platforms
Generative AI systems	Cultural adaptation capabilities	Multiple marginalized groups	Enhanced motivation and confidence	Personalised cultural responsiveness

Accessibility and Inclusive Design Approaches

Assistive Technologies and Universal Design Principles

Students with disabilities represent a significant portion of marginalized learners, and AI technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to address accessibility barriers that have traditionally limited educational participation. The research demonstrates that AI-powered assistive technologies can transform educational experiences for students with disabilities, providing both academic support and enhanced independence (Mora Rodríguez, 2024).

Universal Design for Learning principles guide the development of AI systems that benefit all students whilst specifically addressing the needs of students with disabilities. These systems incorporate multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression, allowing students to access content and demonstrate learning through various modalities. The AI capabilities enable dynamic adaptation to individual accessibility needs without requiring separate or stigmatising accommodations.

Text-to-speech and speech-to-text capabilities powered by advanced natural language processing provide seamless support for students with reading difficulties, visual impairments, or motor disabilities affecting writing. Computer vision technologies enable recognition and interpretation of visual information for students with visual impairments, whilst also supporting students with learning disabilities through visual organisation and analysis tools.

Integration with Health and Educational Systems

The research reveals that successful AI implementations for students with disabilities often involve integration between educational and health information systems. This integration enables comprehensive support that addresses both academic and accessibility needs through coordinated AI-powered interventions. Such systems can track not only academic progress but also accessibility accommodations, health-related needs, and environmental factors affecting learning (AI in Adapted Physical Education, 2025).

Integrated Health Information Systems combined with AI technologies provide comprehensive ecosystems that support students with physical disabilities in higher education settings. These systems demonstrated improved accessibility, communication, and inclusion with statistical significance ($p < 0.001$), indicating substantial positive impacts on educational experiences.

The integration approach recognises that students with disabilities often require multifaceted support that extends beyond traditional academic accommodations. AI systems that can coordinate across health, accessibility, and educational domains provide more comprehensive and effective support than isolated interventions.

Supporting Students with Special Educational Needs

The evidence demonstrates that AI technologies can provide highly individualised support for students with special educational needs across various disability categories. Adaptive platforms utilising machine learning algorithms can identify optimal learning approaches for individual students, whilst data analysis capabilities enable continuous refinement of educational strategies based on student responses and outcomes.

Vasco-Delgado et al. (2025) documented significant correlations ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.01$) between AI-powered customisation and academic achievement among students with special educational needs in Ecuador. The success of these implementations stemmed from their ability to provide personalised curricular adaptations that addressed individual learning profiles whilst maintaining academic rigour.

Voice and translation tools integrated into AI educational platforms provide communication support for students with various disabilities, enabling participation in educational activities that might otherwise be inaccessible. These tools adapt to individual communication needs and preferences, providing seamless integration into educational experiences rather than separate assistive technology implementations.

Table 4: Accessibility-Focused AI Technologies and Inclusive Design Elements

AI Technology	Accessibility Features	Target Disabilities	Educational Outcomes	Design Principles
Adaptive platforms	Multi-modal content delivery	Learning disabilities, sensory impairments	Improved performance and engagement	Universal Design for Learning
Computer vision systems	Visual information interpretation	Visual impairments, learning disabilities	Enhanced accessibility and independence	Seamless integration
Text/speech applications	Communication support	Communication disorders, motor disabilities	Increased participation and expression	User-centred design

Integrated health systems	Comprehensive support coordination	Physical disabilities, chronic health conditions	Improved accessibility and inclusion (p < 0.001)	Holistic support approach

Areas for Future Research

The analysis of current literature reveals several critical areas requiring further investigation to advance the effective use of AI technologies for educational equity. These research priorities emerge from gaps in current evidence and the evolving nature of AI technological capabilities.

Long-Term Impact Assessment and Sustainability

Current research provides compelling evidence for short-term effectiveness of AI equity interventions, but longitudinal studies examining sustained impacts over multiple academic years remain limited. Future research should investigate whether initial gains from AI interventions persist over time and how these technologies influence long-term educational trajectories for marginalized students. This research should also examine the sustainability of AI equity initiatives, including cost-effectiveness analyses and institutional capacity requirements for maintaining effective implementations.

Cross-Cultural Validity and Global Scalability

Whilst several studies document successful implementations in specific cultural and geographic contexts, research examining the cross-cultural validity and global scalability of AI equity approaches remains insufficient. Future investigations should explore how AI technologies developed in one cultural context can be adapted for effectiveness in different cultural and linguistic environments. This research should particularly focus on Indigenous educational contexts, refugee and immigrant populations, and regions with limited technological infrastructure.

Bias Mitigation and Algorithmic Fairness

Although some studies address bias mitigation through adversarial learning and fairness metrics, comprehensive research on preventing and detecting bias in AI educational systems serving marginalized populations requires expansion. Future research should develop and validate systematic approaches for identifying algorithmic bias, implementing bias mitigation strategies, and ensuring ongoing fairness monitoring in AI educational applications. This work should particularly focus on intersectional approaches that address multiple forms of marginalization simultaneously.

Teacher Preparation and Professional Development

The critical role of teacher training in successful AI implementation emerges consistently across studies, yet research on optimal preparation and professional development approaches remains limited. Future investigations should examine effective models for preparing educators to implement AI equity initiatives, including pre-service teacher education, in-service professional development, and ongoing support systems. This research should also explore how teacher preparation programmes can integrate AI literacy with equity competencies.

Summary & Conclusions

This systematic review provides substantial evidence that specific AI technologies and strategies can effectively reduce educational inequities for marginalized students across educational stages when thoughtfully designed and implemented. The research identifies four primary categories of effective interventions: infrastructure-adaptive solutions addressing digital divides, personalised learning systems accommodating diverse needs, culturally responsive technologies honouring linguistic and cultural diversity, and accessibility-focused approaches supporting students with disabilities.

The quantitative evidence demonstrates educationally significant effect sizes, with improvements ranging from d = 0.40 to d = 0.85 across various implementations. These effect sizes place AI equity interventions among the most effective educational strategies documented in research literature, suggesting substantial potential for addressing persistent achievement gaps affecting marginalized students.

Critical success factors emerge consistently across effective implementations: personalisation capabilities that adapt to individual learning needs and preferences, cultural responsiveness that validates and leverages students' diverse backgrounds, accessibility features that address various barriers to participation, and comprehensive teacher training that enables effective implementation and ongoing support.

Implications for K-12 and Higher Education Curriculum & Teaching

The findings have profound implications for curriculum development and teaching practices across educational levels. K-12 education systems must integrate AI equity considerations into curriculum design, ensuring that technological tools enhance rather than diminish educational opportunities for marginalized students. This integration requires systematic attention to bias prevention, cultural responsiveness, and accessibility in AI tool selection and implementation.

Teacher preparation programmes require significant enhancement to address AI literacy alongside equity competencies. Educators need preparation in recognising algorithmic bias, implementing culturally responsive AI tools, and leveraging AI capabilities to support diverse learners. This preparation must extend beyond technical skills to include critical evaluation of AI systems' equity implications and advocacy for inclusive implementation practices.

Higher education institutions bear particular responsibility for modelling equitable AI practices whilst preparing professionals for diverse educational settings. This includes examining institutional AI policies for equity implications, providing faculty development in AI equity applications, and ensuring that research and development activities prioritise inclusive design principles.

Curriculum reform across all educational levels should emphasise the development of AI literacy that includes understanding of bias, fairness, and equity considerations. Students need preparation to critically evaluate AI systems and advocate for inclusive technological implementation throughout their educational and professional experiences.

The evidence demonstrates that achieving educational equity through AI requires sustained commitment, comprehensive approaches, and ongoing adaptation based on evidence of effectiveness. Success depends on creating conditions that enable all students to thrive whilst building stronger connections between technological innovation and social justice goals. This systematic review provides a foundation for continuing research and practice development in this critical intersection of technology and educational equity, offering evidence-based guidance for institutions and educators committed to leveraging AI technologies for the benefit of all students, particularly those who have been historically marginalized in educational systems.

The potential of AI technologies to advance educational equity is substantial, but realising this potential requires deliberate attention to equity considerations in design, implementation, and evaluation processes. As AI capabilities continue to evolve, maintaining focus on equity outcomes and marginalized student success will be essential for ensuring that technological advancement contributes to rather than exacerbates educational disparities.

References

Archer, A. L., & Hughes, C. A. (2011). *Explicit instruction: Effective and efficient teaching*. Guilford Press.

Beattie, D. K. (2006). The rich task: A unit of instruction and a unit of assessment. *Art Education*, 59(1), 12–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/00043125.2006.11651614

Brown, G., & Harris, L. (2014). The future of self-assessment in classroom practice: Reframing self-assessment as a core competency. *Frontiers in Education*, 2(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.14786/FLR.V2I1.24

Cheddadi, S., & Bouache, M. (2021). Improving equity and access to higher education using artificial intelligence. *International Conference on Crowd Science and Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSE51940.2021.9569548

Chine, D. R., Brentley, C., Thomas-Browne, C. G., Richey, J., Gul, A., Carvalho, P. F., Branstetter, L. G., & Koedinger, K. (2022). Educational equity through combined human-AI personalization: A propensity matching evaluation. *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11644-5_30

Coppin, B. (2025). The potential of AI in education: Personalizing learning. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence for Science (IJAI4S)*. https://doi.org/10.63619/ijai4s.v1i2.003

Dole, S., Nisbet, S., Warren, E., & Cooper, T. (1999). Teacher collaboration in developing rich assessment tasks. *Mathematics Teacher Education and Development*, 1, 3–12.

Elmahdi, O. E. H., Balla, A. A. S., Abdelrady, A. H., Othman, E., & Ahmed, A. O. A. (2025). AI-driven vocabulary acquisition in EFL higher education: Interdisciplinary insights into technological innovation, ethical challenges, and equitable access. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*. https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i4.8760

Esplin, T. (2023). *Using exemplars in the classroom*. Utah Valley University. https://www.uvu.edu/otl/blog/exemplarsintheclassroom.html

Examining the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing adapted physical education (APE) in universities in Harbin, Heilongjiang, China. (2025). *Uniglobal Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*. https://doi.org/10.53797/ujssh.v4i2.13.2025

Griffin, P. (2009). What makes a rich task. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 21(3), 3–21.

Hansen, C. T. (2024a). *Rich tasks and authentic assessments in mathematics learning*. Summit Institute.

Hansen, C. T. (2024b). *Assessment for learning: A summary of concepts, tactics and strategies*. Summit Institute.

Isotani, S., Bittencourt, I., Challco, G., Dermeval, D., & Mello, R. F. (2023). AIED unplugged: Leapfrogging the digital divide to reach the underserved. *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-36336-8_118

Jiang, S., McClure, J., Tatar, C., Bickel, F., Rosé, C. P., & Chao, J. (2024). Towards inclusivity in AI: A comparative study of cognitive engagement between marginalized female students and peers. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13467

Jiang, W., & Pardos, Z. (2021). Towards equity and algorithmic fairness in student grade prediction. *AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3461702.3462623

Kingsley, K. V., & Brinkerhoff, J. (2011). Web 2.0 tools for authentic instruction, learning, and assessment. *Interdisciplinary Journal of E-Learning and Learning Objects*, 7(1), 1–14.

Koranda, K. (2000). Authentic instruction and assessment: The revolution continues. *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 12(2), 45–59.

Mangal, M., & Pardos, Z. (2024). Implementing equitable and intersectionality-aware ML in education: A practical guide. *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13484

Mora Rodríguez, M. de los Á. (2024). Revitalizando la educación inclusiva: Aplicaciones de la inteligencia artificial para mejorar el acceso y la equidad. *Reincisol*. https://doi.org/10.59282/reincisol.v3(6)1996-2014

Naseer, F., & Khawaja, S. (2025). Mitigating conceptual learning gaps in mixed-ability classrooms: A learning analytics-based evaluation of AI-driven adaptive feedback for struggling learners. *Applied Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15084473

Pace, S. (2019). The power of exemplars in assessment. *Educational Assessment Quarterly*, 14(2), 23-35.

Portela, C., Palomino, P., Challco, G., Sobrinho, Á., Cordeiro, T., Mello, R., Dermeval, D., Bittencourt, I., & Isotani, S. (2024). AI in education unplugged support equity between rural and urban areas in Brazil. *International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies and Development*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3700794.3700810

Rasheed, T., Bashir, A., Hanif, S., & Gul, H. (2025). Leveraging AI to mitigate educational inequality: Personalized learning resources, accessibility, and student outcomes. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*. https://doi.org/10.59075/j4959m50

Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Xiao, K., & Oshima, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and new technologies in inclusive education for minority students: A systematic review. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013572

Smith, J. K., & Smith, L. F. (2014). Developing assessment tasks. In G. Brown & L. Harris (Eds.), *Handbook of assessment and testing in education* (pp. 145–161). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5902-2_8

Supramaniam, J. K., Baharudin, S. M., Halid, N. M., Abbas, M. S., Amin, M., Ghani, A., Halim, K. A., & Nordin, M. N. (2024). Leveraging AI to bridge educational inequities: A global perspective. *Nanotechnology Perceptions*, 20(3), 156-173.

Vasco-Delgado, J. C., Macas-Padilla, B. A., Arias-Párraga, K. E., & Sánchez-Parrales, C. E. (2025). Educación inclusiva con inteligencia artificial: Personalización curricular para estudiantes con necesidades educativas especiales. *Multidisciplinary Latin American Journal (MLAJ)*. https://doi.org/10.62131/mlaj-v3-n2-001

Weng, Z., & Fu, Y. (2025). Generative AI in language education: Bridging divide and fostering inclusivity. *International Journal of Technology in Education*. https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.1056

Yeo, J. B. W. (2007). Mathematical tasks: Clarification, classification, and implications. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 66(1), 79–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-006-9061-8

Zhang, H., & Yie, L. W. (2024). AI solutions for accessible education in underserved communities. *Journal of Innovation and Technology*, 15(4), 289-307.